

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/539.907	06/17/2005	Paolo Agostinelli	207048	6581
7590 08/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Abelman, Frayne & Schwab			NGUYEN, CHAU N	
666 Third Aven	nue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10017-5621			2831	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/539,907	AGOSTINELLI, PAOLO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chau N. Nguyen	2831	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur 	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal mat	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 07 July 2006 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific state of the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objecto the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been received. iments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/92) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/539,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2000-156450 (JP'450) in view of Shockley (2,744,063).

JP'450 discloses an electric wire comprising a conducting metal (1) able to continually conduct a current, the outer surface of which is covered in a layer of alloy (2). JP'450 also discloses the wire being a metal wire able to conduct the current (re claim 4). JP'450 does not disclose the alloy containing tin, antimony and copper. Shockley discloses an invention relating to an alloy containing tin, antimony and copper (re claim 1). Shockley discloses that alloy containing tin, antimony and copper has long been accepted as satisfactory coating (bearing) materials which can be plated on a backing metal. Alloy containing (re claims 2 and 3) tin in amount of 95%wt, antimony in amount of 4%wt and copper in amount of 1% of Shockley has a good adherent to metal base such as copper (col.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,907

Art Unit: 2831

5, lines 35-40). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the alloy containing tin, antimony and copper as taught by Shockley for the alloy layer (2) of JP'450 since the alloy taught by Shockley is known in the art for being used as coating materials and has a good adherent to copper. Re claim 5, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use copper for the wire of JP'450 since copper is known in the art for its highly conductivity. Re claims 7-9, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the modified wire of JP'450 as signal wire, power wire in a winding or in a transformer since it has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. Re claim 10, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the modified wire of JP'450 with a dielectric sheath made of woven black silk to provide the wire with an insulation layer since woven black silk is known in the art for being used as insulating material.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/539,907 Page 4

Art Unit: 2831

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP'450 in view of Shockley as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Teshima et al. (3,027,269).

Claim 6 additionally recites the wire being passed through a flux and left dry, pre-heated and then dipped in a bath consisting of the molten alloy. Teshima et al. discloses a process for coating a metal. Teshima et al. discloses the process comprising the steps of passing the metal through a flux and left dry, pre-heated and then dipped in a bath (col. 2). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the teaching of Teshima et al. when coating the alloy layer over the metal wire of JP'450 to prevent any voids forming between the outer surface of the wire and the alloy layer.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Summary

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.

Art Unit: 2831

See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31.

Application/Control Number: 10/539,907 Page 6

Art Unit: 2831

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Chau N Nguyen **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2831

Clarkguju